IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.6111 OF 2005

Rajendra Bapu Sadole ...Applicant
vs.
The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.H.S.Venegavkar for the Applicant
Ms M.M.Deshmukh,A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : SEPTEMBER 27,2005

P.C.:

1. Heard Advocate for the Applicant and learned A.P.P. for State. The prayer in this application is for grant of anticipatory bail. Offence has been registered against the Applicant and co-accused under Section 420 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The offence alleged is regarding accepting amounts from various persons by giving false promises to give employment. As pointed out by the Advocate for the Applicant, in the first part of the statement of the first informant the Applicant is not specifically named. However, in the later part it is stated that the person referred to as Rajendra Patil is the present Application. There are statements of the other affected persons recorded by the Police which show the involvement of the Applicant. As held by the Apex Court, gravity of offence and need for custodial interrogation are the only factors which are required to be considered while deciding the application for anticipatory bail. In the present case, the allegations

against the Applicant are serious in nature requiring custodial interrogation. There is no merit in the Application and the same is rejected.

2. It is made clear that the observations which are made in this order are for limited purpose of considering the application for grant of anticipatory bail.

JUDGE